

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/581,050 | 12/27/1995 | TAKAHISA UEDA | | 6204 |
| 759 | 90 06/06/2002 | | | |
| FELIX J D'AMBROSIO | | | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 2266 I ARLINGTON, | EADS STATION VA 22202 | | DEPUMPO, | DANIEL G |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3611 | 117 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002 | 76 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 08/581,050

Applicant(s)

Ueda

| TICE | Action | Summary | Ī | Exan |
|------|--------|---------|---|------|
| | | | | |

niner

Daniel G. DePumpo

Art Unit **3611**

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period f | for Reply | • | | | | |
| A SHO | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | | |
| mailing | date of this communication. | | | | | |
| - If NO p | , | and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| - Any rep | to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the | · · · | | | | |
| _ | patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ! | | | | |
| Status 1) 🔀 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 29, 20 | nn2 | | | | |
| 2a) 🔀 | This action is FINAL . 2b) \Box This action | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| • | tion of Claims | t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t | | | | |
| 4) i X i | Claim(s) 1-11, 13, 14, and 16-31 | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4 | la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1, 3-11, 13, 14, and 16-3</u> | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) 2 | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | | | |
| _ | | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| | ation Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11)□ | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) 🗆 | 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | | | | |
| , | 2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| _ | *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | |
| | The translation of the foreign language provisiona | • • | | | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | |
| Attachme | | | | | | |
| _ | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 3) [int- | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Uther: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050

Art Unit: 3611

- 1. Claims 1, 3-11, 13, 14 and 16-31 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 2 has been amended to recite "fiber yarns each having a surface which surface includes an adhesive" (emphasis added). In applicant's remarks (page 3, line 14), applicant asserts that this recitation means that "both surfaces" of the fiber are provided with adhesives. In view of this assertion, the disclosure of the "surface" (surfaces?) is unclear. It is not understood how a fiber can have plural "surfaces" (i.e. both surfaces). Instead, it appears that a fiber can only comprise one continuous surface. It is noted that the phrase "both surfaces" appears in the original specification at page 6, line 25 (subsequently amended), however, the most recent amendment to the claim, in conjunction with applicant's remarks, has necessitated this new rejection. Clarification and/or correction are required.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, it is unclear what is meant by the "surface" in view of the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection above.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. '030 in view of Ogino et al.

See the rejection of paper number 17. In Ueda, it is noted that since the narrow fibers are laminated with the graphite, the graphite surrounds the fibers. Also, fig. 8 clearly shows that the graphite surrounds the fibers.

8. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Case et al. in view of Schnitzler.

See the rejection of paper number 17.

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

9. Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant urges that Ueda et al. '030 does not disclose that the graphite surrounds the fibers. The examiner does not agree. As disclosed at col. 4, lines 65-67 of Ueda, the fiber 2 "is laminated between" layers of graphite. This structure is shown in fig. 7. Clearly, this will result in graphite surrounding the fibers. Furthermore, as shown in at least fig. 8, the graphite surrounds the fiber yarns 2 when the threads 4" are braided together.

It is noted that the rejection based on Case et al. has not been addressed.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3611

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

June 4, 2002